

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,132	02/06/2001	Jhy-Jhu Lin	82-95A	3192
23713	7590 06/30	2003		
GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C 5370 MANHATTAN CIRCLE SUITE 201			EXAMINER	
			LANKFORD JR, LEON B	
BOULDER,	CO 80303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1651	$\bigcirc$
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>\</b>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/778,132	LIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	L Blaine Lankford	1651				
The MAILING DATE f this communication Peri d f r Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>25 March 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Ain.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7,8,17-19,21-25,27-32 and 40-60</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	· <u> </u>					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	cation).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·			

**Art Unit: 1651** 

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group II is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are closely related. This is not found persuasive because the restriction is proper for the reasons of record. However, pointed arguments about the similarities of certain specific claims may persuade the examiner to rejoin them with the elected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3,6,9-16,20,26,33-39,61 and 62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5674731.

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 3,6,9-16,20,26,33-39,61 and 62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 5994135.

Claims 3,6,9-16,20,26,33-39,61 and 62 -23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6361999.

Claims 3,6,9-16,20,26,33-39,61 and 62 -23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6271032.

Claims 3,6,9-16,20,26,33-39,61 and 62 -23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over allowed claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent App No. 09/923,892.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the methods claimed in the patents render obvious any newly claimed plant affecting use of the claimed auxin.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,132

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blaine Lankford Prima<del>rv L</del>xamine Page 4

Art Unit 1651

LBL

June 27, 2003